

•			PATENT COOPE	RATION TRE	ATY	(4
From the INTERNA	TIONAL SEARC	HING AUTH	ORITY			
To: HADASSA WATERMAN G.E. EHRLICH (1995) LTD. 11 MENACHEM BEGIN STREET RAMAT GAN, ISRAEL 52521			PCT WRITTEN OPINION OF THE		='	
TOWN TOWN, ISRAEL 52521			INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			
					(PCT Rule 43bis.1)	
				Date of mailing (day/month/year)	15 OCT 2008	
Applicant's or agent's file reference			FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below			
39833						
	nal application No).	International filing date		Priority date (day/month/year)	
PCT/IL07		antina (IDC)	19 July 2007 (19.07.200 or both national classification	<u> </u>		
IPC: USPC:	A61K 51/00(200 424/1.11;604/403	6.01);A61N 5		on and IPC		
Applicant						
SPECTRE	JM DYNAMICS					
1. This	opinion contains i	ndications rela	ating to the following item	s:		
	Box No. I	Basis of the	opinion			
	Box No. II	Priority				
	Box No. III		•	gard to novelty, inver	ntive step and industrial applicabi	lity
	Box No. IV	Lack of unit	ty of invention			
	Box No. V		tatement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> y; citations and explanation		o novelty, inventive step or indust atement	rial
	Box No. VI	Certain doc	uments cited			
	Box No. VII	Certain defe	ects in the international app	plication		
	Box No. VIII	Certain obse	ervations on the internation	nal application		
2. FUR	THER ACTIO	N				
Intern Autho	national Prefimina ority other than th	ry Examinin is one to be t	g Authority ("IPEA") ex	cept that this does IPEA has notified the	be considered to be a written of not apply where the applicant e International Bureau under Ru gred.	chooses an
IPEA of Fo	a written reply to	gether, where or before the e	e appropriate, with amend expiration of 22 months from	ments, before the exp	PEA, the applicant is invited to spiration of 3 months from the dawhichever expires later.	ubmit to the te of mailing
3. For fu	urther details, see r	notes to Form	PCT/ISA/220.	^		

Date of completion of this opinion

01 September 2008 (01.09.2008)

Authorized officer

MARIA B. MARVICH

Telephone No. (703) 308-0196

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US

Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2007)

International application No.
PCT/IL07/00918

Box No. I Basis of this opinion				
1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
the international application in the language in which it was filed				
a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)). This opinion has been established taking into account the rectification of an obvious mistake authorized by or notified to this				
Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43bis.1(a))				
3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
a. type of material				
a sequence listing				
table(s) related to the sequence listing				
b. format of material				
on paper				
in electronic form				
c. time of filing/furnishing				
contained in the international application as filed.				
filed together with the international application in electronic form.				
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
4. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.				
5. Additional comments:				
·				

International application No.

PCT/IL07/00918

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:				
the entire international application				
claims Nos. 290-295,359,362,363,367.371,372,380-382,384,449-540 and 578-582				
because:				
the said international application, or the said claim Nos. 428-448 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international search (specify):				
because the claimed recitation of a use without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is nt a proper process claim under 35 USC 101.				
the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 290- 295,359,362,363,367,371,372,380-382,384,449-540 and 578- are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
A multiply dependent claim cannot depend from another multiply dependent claim				
the claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
no international search report has been established for said claims Nos.				
a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit:				
furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.				
furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.				
pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rules 13ter. I(a) or (b).				
a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions, and such tables were not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.				
the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in electronic form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.				
See Supplemental Box for further details.				

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. III) (April 2007)

International application No.	
PCT/IL07/00918	

Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention				
In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant has, within the applicable time limit: paid additional fees paid additional fees under protest and, where applicable, the protest fee paid additional fees under protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid				
not paid additional fees This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is				
complied with not complied with for the following reasons: See the lack of unity section of the International Search Report(Form PCT/ISA/210)				
·				
4. Consequently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application: all parts. the parts relating to claims Nos. 1-289,296-358,360,364-366,368-370,373-379,383,385-448,541-577 and 583-588				

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. IV) (April 2007)

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Supplemental Box) (April 2007)

International application No. PCT/IL.07/00918

Supplemental Box In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.
V.1. Reasoned Statements: The opinion as to Novelty was positive (Yes)with respect to claims 1-251, 262-266, 268-272, 275, 283-288, 296-358, 360, 361, 364-366, 368-370, 373-379, 383, 389-427, 542-565, 567-577 The opinion as to Novelty was negative (No) with respect to claims 252-261, 267, 273, 274, 276, 385-388, 541, 552, 566, 583, 584, 586, 587 The opinion as to Inventive Step was positive (Yes)with respect to claims 1-251, 262-266, 268-272, 275, 287, 288, 296-358, 360, 361, 364-366, 368-370, 373-379, 383, 389-427, 542-565, 567-577 The opinion as to Inventive Step was negative(NO) with respect to claims 252-261, 267, 273, 274, 276, 283-286, 289, 385-388, 541, 552, 566, 583, 584, 586, 587 The opinion as to Industrial Applicability was positive (YES) with respect to claims 1-227, 283-289, 296-358, 360, 361, 364-366, 368-370, 373-379, 383, 385-427, 541-577, 583-588 The opinion as to Industrial Applicability was negative(NO) with respect to claims NONE